

UNITED STANDED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/061,417
 04/16/98
 0LSON
 E
 UTSD: 548

STEVEN L. HIGHLANDER ARNOLD, WHITE & DURKEE P O BOX 4433 HOUSTON TX 77210-4433 HM22/0120 EXAMINER
BAKER, A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1632

DATE MAILED:

01/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

(Rev. 2/95)

Application No.

09/061,417

Applicant(s)

Olson et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1632



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 €	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
\square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	I to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □approved □disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	ne priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er)
\square received in this national stage application from the Int	ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	i)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	
Modice of informal Patent Application, PTO-192	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-40 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, and 9-11, drawn to a method of treating hypertrophy in a cardiomyocyte cell by inhibiting the function of NF-AT3, wherein the agent contacting the cell is an antibody, a small molecule inhibitor, or a polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, and 12, drawn to a method of treating hypertrophy in a cardiomyocyte cell by inhibiting the function of NF-AT3, wherein the agent contacting the cell is an antisense construct, classified in class 536, subclass 24.5.
- III. Claims 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, and 22, drawn to a non-human transgenic mammal comprising a heterologous NF-AT3 gene under the control of a promoter active in eukaryotic cells, classified in class 800, subclass 13.
- IV. Claims 15, 17, 18, 19, and 23-40, drawn to a non-human transgenic mammal comprising a heterologous NF-AT3 gene having at least one mutation that destroys a phosphorylation site, classified in class 800, subclass 13.

Claim 1 embraces the inventions of Groups I and II. Should either Group I or II be elected, Claim 1 will be examined only to the extent that it encompasses the elected subject matter.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1632

Inventions I and II are patentably distinct because the inventions constitute distinct methods, requiring the use of different starting materials and different modes of operation. For example, the antisense molecule administered in the method of the invention of Group II is structurally, functionally, and biologically distinct from the agents administered in the method of the invention of Group I. Thus, the methods of the inventions of Groups I and II are patentably distinct.

Invention I and either of III or IV are patentably distinct because the inventions are drawn to methods and compositions that are unrelated. The transgenic animal of the invention of Group III is not required to practice the method of the invention of Group I. The transgenic animal of the invention of Group IV is not required to practice the method of the invention of Group I. Thus, the claimed method and transgenic animals are patentably distinct.

Invention II and either of III or IV are patentably distinct because the inventions are drawn to methods and compositions that are unrelated. The transgenic animal of the invention of Group III is not required to practice the method of the invention of Group II. The transgenic animal of the invention of Group IV is not required to practice the method of the invention of Group II. Thus, the claimed method and transgenic animals are patentably distinct.

Inventions III and IV are patentably distinct because the inventions are drawn to materially different compositions that are not directly related. The NF-AT3 transgenic animal of the invention of Group III would be expected to have a phenotype different from the mutant NF-AT3 transgenic animal of the invention of Group IV. Thus the animals would have different uses. The animals would be biologically and genetically different from each other. The inventions are drawn to compositionally and functionally different animals

Application/Control Number: 09/061,417 Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

which require non-coextensive areas of search and consideration. Thus, the claimed transgenic animals are

patentably distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status

in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter and because the

searches required for the separate inventions are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the

invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne-Marie Baker whose telephone number is (703) 306-9155. The examiner can normally be

reached Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jasemine Chambers, can be reached on (703) 308-2035. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-8724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Anne-Marie Baker, Ph.D.

JASEMINE CHAMBERS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Tasenine C. Chambers

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600